1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 8 LIDONG LIU, No. C07-1474RSL 9 Plaintiff. ORDER VACATING ORDER TO 10 SHOW CAUSE AND ORDER v. REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO FILE 11 MONTHLY STATUS REPORT 12 MICHAEL CHERTOFF, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 This matter comes before the Court sua sponte. On April 22, 2008, the Court ordered 15 defendants by May 9, 2008, to provide the Court with particularized information why plaintiff's 16 I-485 application for adjustment of status cannot be fully adjudicated. See Dkt. #18 at 20-21. 17 On May 9, 2008, defendants responded to the Court's order and provided particularized 18 information demonstrating that while plaintiff's name check was completed on March 28, 2008, 19 plaintiff's application cannot be approved by United States Citizenship and Immigration 20 Services ("USCIS") because no visa numbers are available for plaintiff. See Dkt. #19 21 (Defendant's Response to Order to Show Cause); Ex. A. (Second Heinauer Decl.) at ¶13; ¶14 22 ("Plaintiff's application for adjustment of status cannot be completed until a visa number is 23 available and issued by the U.S. Department of State."). Significantly, the Department of State 24 is not a party to this lawsuit. 25 26 ORDER VACATING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ORDER REQUIRING

MONTHLY STATUS REPORT

Plaintiff is applying for adjustment of status as a second preference worker from the People's Republic of China. See Dkt. #14 (Heinauer Decl.) at ¶6. Plaintiff's priority date for visa number purposes is September 13, 2004. Id. at ¶7; Dkt. #19 at (Second Heinauer Decl.) ¶6 (stating "[t]he priority date for visa number purposes in Ms. Liu's case is September 13, 2004."). In the May 2008 visa bulletin, the cutoff date for second preference Chinese applicants was January 1, 2004. See Dkt. #19, Ex. A at ¶12; see also May 2008 visa bulletin, available at http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin\_4205.html. The Court takes judicial notice that in the June 2008 visa bulletin, the cutoff date has now progressed to April 1, 2004. See June 2008 visa bulletin, available at http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin\_4231.html. Given defendants' representation that plaintiff's case will be completed once a visa

Given defendants' representation that plaintiff's case will be completed once a visa number becomes available to her, coupled with the Court's conclusion that defendants cannot adjudicate plaintiff's application until a visa number is available, the Court orders as follows:

Based on defendants' May 9, 2008 filing (Dkt. #19), the Court VACATES the portion of the April 22, 2008 order requiring defendants to provide particularized information supporting their claim that plaintiff's application cannot be adjudicated. See Dkt. #18 at 20-21. However, the Court hereby ORDERS defendants on the **15th day of each month** to file a status report indicating: (1) whether a visa number is available for plaintiff; and if so, (2) whether USCIS has approved (fully adjudicated) plaintiff's I-485 application. This obligation shall continue until plaintiff's application is approved by USCIS. The Court DEFERS plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #13) until plaintiff's application is approved by USCIS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See</u> Dkt. #19, Ex. A at ¶18 ("A visa number is requested from the U.S. Department of State by the adjudicating officer at the moment a case is deemed to be finally approvable for adjustment of status. The visa number request and allocation is done electronically and is generally accomplished within a few hours. <u>Plaintiff's case will be completed once a visa number becomes available to her</u>.") (emphasis added).

MMS (asnik Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

DATED this 23rd day of May, 2008.

ORDER VACATING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ORDER REQUIRING MONTHLY STATUS REPORT